## Expressing some operations in terms of others revisited.

Recall the following from a previous lecture.
From the six operations $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$, some operations can be expressed in terms of others. For example,
$P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$.
Also, it can be checked using the truth tables that
$P \wedge Q \equiv \neg(\neg P \vee \neg Q)$,
$P \vee Q \equiv \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$,
$P \oplus Q \equiv(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee(\neg P \wedge Q)$,
$P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv(P \wedge Q) \vee(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$.
Observations made earlier:

1. Any operation can be defined in terms of $\wedge, \vee$, and $\neg$.
2. Since $\wedge$ can be defined in terms of $\vee$ and $\neg$, any operation can be defined in terms of these two.
3. Since $\vee$ can be defined in terms of $\wedge$ and $\neg$, any operation can be defined in terms of these two as well.

Old questions and new answers:

1. Can $\neg$ be defined in terms of $\wedge$ and $\vee$ ?

Answer: no. If this were possible, we would have an expression that contains only variables, $\wedge$, and $\vee$, and is logically equvalent to $\neg P$. However, when constructing a truth table for such an expression, we would only have the value T in the first line, where each variable has the value T. So, it is not possible to get an F in that line, therefore the expression cannot be logically equivalent to $\neg P$.
2. Can $\wedge$ and $\vee$ be defined in terms of $\rightarrow$ and $\neg$ ? If so, how? If not, explain why not.

Answer: yes. Since $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$, replacing $P$ with $\neg P$ and
eliminating the double negation, we have:

$$
P \vee Q \equiv \neg P \rightarrow Q
$$

Applying negation to both sides of this gives

$$
\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg(\neg P \rightarrow Q)
$$

Using DeMorgan's law,

$$
\neg P \wedge \neg Q \equiv \neg(\neg P \rightarrow Q)
$$

Finally, replace $P$ with $\neg P$ and $Q$ with $\neg Q$, and eliminate the double negation to obtain:

$$
P \wedge Q \equiv \neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q)
$$

3. Can all of these six operations be expressed in terms of just one of them? If so, which one? If not, explain why not.

Answer: no.

- $\neg$ is insufficient because it cannot connect two variables.
- $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow$, and $\leftrightarrow$ always will give the truth value $T$ when each variable has the value T , therefore cannot express negation.
- $\oplus$ will always give the value F when each variable has the value F, therefore cannot express $\leftrightarrow$.

4. Does there exist any other operation (an operation can be defined by a truth table) that could be used to define all six of the above (classical) operations?

Answer: yes. There are two such operations, namely,

$$
X \star Y=\neg(X \wedge Y)
$$

and

$$
X * Y=\neg(X \vee Y)
$$

First let's show that these operations $\star$ and $*$ are the only binary operations that could possibly be capable of expressing all other operations.

- To express negation, the value of the operation for $P=\mathrm{T}$ and $Q=\mathrm{T}$ must be F .

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P$ operation $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F |
| T | F |  |
| F | T |  |
| F | F |  |

- To express biconditional, the value of the operation for $P=\mathrm{F}$ and $Q=\mathrm{F}$ must be T .

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P$ operation $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F |
| T | F |  |
| F | T |  |
| F | F | T |

- If the values of the operation at $P=\mathrm{T}, Q=\mathrm{F}$ and at $P=\mathrm{F}, Q=\mathrm{T}$ are T and F respectively, then the operation is equivalent to $\neg Q$, while if the values of the operation at $P=\mathrm{T}, Q=\mathrm{F}$ and at $P=\mathrm{F}$, $Q=\mathrm{T}$ are F and T respectively, then the operation is equivalent to $\neg P$. We already know that $\neg$ cannot express other operations.
- Thus these two values should be either both T or both F. In the first case we get $P \star Q$, and in the second we get $P * Q$ :

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \star Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |


| $P$ | $Q$ | $P * Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | T |

Next we will show that all other operations can be expressed in terms of $\star$.
Observe that $X \star X \equiv \neg(X \wedge X) \equiv \neg X$, so

$$
\neg X \equiv X \star X
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \wedge Y & \equiv \neg(X \star Y) \\
& \equiv(X \star Y) \star(X \star Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \vee Y & \equiv \neg((\neg X) \wedge(\neg Y)) \\
& \equiv \neg((X \star X) \wedge(Y \star Y)) \\
& \equiv \neg(((X \star X) \star(Y \star Y)) \star((X \star X) \star(Y \star Y))) \\
& \equiv(((X \star X) \star(Y \star Y)) \star((X \star X) \star(Y \star Y))) \star \\
& (((X \star X) \star(Y \star Y)) \star((X \star X) \star(Y \star Y))) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
(A \star A) \star(A \star A) \equiv \neg \neg A \equiv A,
$$

so the above can be simplified:

$$
X \vee Y \equiv(X \star X) \star(Y \star Y)
$$

Equivalently, using $X \wedge Y \equiv \neg(X \star Y)$, we could do the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \vee Y & \equiv \neg((\neg X) \wedge(\neg Y)) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg((\neg X) \star(\neg Y))) \\
& \equiv(\neg X) \star(\neg Y) \\
& \equiv(X \star X) \star(Y \star Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \rightarrow Y & \equiv \neg X \vee Y \\
& \equiv \neg(X \wedge \neg Y) \\
& \equiv \neg(X \wedge(Y \star Y)) \\
& \equiv \neg((X \star(Y \star Y)) \star(X \star(Y \star Y))) \\
& \equiv((X \star(Y \star Y)) \star(X \star(Y \star Y))) \star((X \star(Y \star Y)) \star(X \star(Y \star Y))) \\
& \equiv X \star(Y \star Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise: express $\neg, \wedge$, and $\vee$ in terms of $*$.

